

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 - Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004

		No. of the last of		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/740,376	12/19/2000	Chin-Long Chen	POU920000124US1	3152
7:	590 07/22/2004	•	EXAMINER	
Lawrence D. Cutter, Attorney			HO, THOMAS M	
IBM Corporation	on			
Intellectual Pro	perty Law Dept.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2455 South Rd.	., M/S P386	,	2134	
Poughkeepsie, NY 12601			D. TE. M. H. ED. 07/00/000	. –

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				///				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/740,376	CHEN ET AL.	1				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Thomas M Ho	2134	_				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faile Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timer within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2000.						
2a)□		action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the meri	ts is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	•						
5)□	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Applicat	ion Papers	·						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage)				
Attachmen		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Infor	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) rr No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 is pending

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "Applied Cryptography" Menezes et al.

In reference to claim 1:

Menezes(Section 14.32-14.41) discloses a method for checksum generation and utilization, in an apparatus for performing modulo N multiplication of integers A and B in which said modulo multiplication is carried out in k bit wide portions of the factors A and B which are representable and as

$$\sum (A_i R^i)$$
 For I=0 to M-1, and $\sum of(B_i R^i)$ For I=0 to M-1

where R equals 2^k and where N is representable as \sum For I=0 to M-1, of N_iR^i , said method comprising the steps:

Art Unit: 2134

Operating said multiplication apparatus over a plurality of cycles so as to produce, at each cycle I, the values Z_i and Y_i in accordance with a two phase modular multiplication method which does not require division operation., where
 Montgomery's Modular Multiplication Algorithm discloses a two phase method without requiring division, where the two phases are step 2.1 and 2.2 of 14.36, where A is Z_i and u_i is the values of Y_i.

- Accumulating, over said cycles, sums modulo(R-1) of the values A_i , B_i , N_i , and Z_i , where A of 14.36 is Z_i , X and Y of 14.36 is A_i and B_i , and m_i is N, where the values are accumulated over the cycles of the for loop through their individual representations. Ex. $M = (m_{n-1} \dots m_1 m_0)$
- Comparing the sum of the Z_i values with the sum of two products, the first product being the product of the sums of the A_i and B_i terms, and the second product being the product of the sums of the N_i and Y_i terms, where the sum of the Z_i values (A of 14.36) are compared using the sums of two products (x_iy and u_im) from 2.2 of 14.36.

The Examiner notes that it is well known it is well known in the art the Binary numbers in computers are a base two system, and where a base N system of numbers if a system where a number contains digitals A_i to A_0

such that the quantity expressed by $A_iA_{(i-1)}A_{(i-2)}...A_1A_0$ is equivalent to

 $(A_i * N^i) + (A_{i-1} * N^{(i-1)}) + ... + (A_2 * N^2) + (A_1 * N^1) + (A_0 * N^0)$ which is the quantity expressed by

Art Unit: 2134

 Σ For I=0 to M-1, of A_iR^i where R equals 2^k . Therefore, the factors A and B merely disclose properties characteristic of numbers represented in base 2.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - US patent 6,182,104 discloses a method of modulo multiplication that reveals some aspects/variations of the Montgomery multiplication method.
 - "Analyzing and Comparing Montgomery Multiplication Algorithms" by Koc et al. discloses a number of variations on the Montgomery multiplication method, each of which avoid the use of division.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M Ho whose telephone number is (703)305-8029. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A. Morse can be reached at (703)308-4789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7239 for regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-5484.

GREGORY MURSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Art Unit: 2134

TMH

July 9th 2003